FOUNTAIN JOURNAL OF NATURAL & APPLIED SCIENCES

A Publication of the College of Natural & Applied Sciences

Fountain University, Osogbo, Nigeria

Experimental study of improving Nigerian heavy crude oil (Agbabu bitumen) viscosity reduction by dilution with n-heptane, phenol, toluene, xylene, and naphtha

Falade, A.[1,2], Akinsete, O. O.[2], Aliu, H. O.[2], Mobolaji, O.[2], Oni, T.[1]

[1]*Department of Mineral & Petroleum Resources Engineering, School of Engineering, Federal Polytechnic, Ado Ekiti.*
[2]*Department of Petroleum Engineering, University of Ibadan, Ibadan*

Corresponding Author: ademola201052@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

This experimental study examines the viscosity reduction of Nigerian heavy crude oil, specifically Agbabu bitumen, through dilution with selected solvents, including naphtha, n-heptane, phenol, toluene, and xylene. The influence of pressure variation on the solubility-driven reduction of viscosity was investigated. Solubility tests of bitumen in each solvent were conducted at pressures of 20, 80, 140, 200, and 260 psia. The results indicate that bitumen solubility increases with pressure across all solvents, with xylene exhibiting the highest solubility, 13.0 mol/dm^3, at 260 psia. Kinematic and dynamic viscosities were measured using a Redwood viscometer under minimum- and maximum-solubility conditions. Xylene achieved the highest viscosity reduction, with dynamic viscosity decreasing from 390.6 cP to 13.95 cP and kinematic viscosity reducing from 420 cSt to 15.0 cSt. The study highlights the superiority of aromatic solvents in reducing the viscosity of Agbabu bitumen, providing insights useful for heavy crude oil production and transportation. The findings of this study contribute to the development of cost-effective, efficient approaches to enhancing the production and transportation of heavy crude oil. The results also provide valuable insights into the thermodynamic and rheological behaviour of heavy oil-solvent mixtures under varying pressure conditions. This study's results can be applied to optimise the design and operation of heavy oil production and transportation systems, ultimately improving the economic viability of heavy oil resources.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received September 2025
Revised January 2026
Accepted February 2026

Keywords:
Viscosity, Heavy oil, Solvent, Dynamic, Kinematic

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Licens

Introduction

According to [1], bitumen is defined as a highly viscous and dense hydrocarbon that occurs naturally within tar sands, where it is typically intermingled with water, sand, and clay. Bitumen is classified as a subclass of heavy crude oil and requires viscosity modification prior to production and refining. Nigeria hosts substantial reserves of this resource, with combined heavy oil and bitumen reserves estimated at approximately 38 billion barrels [2]. Bitumen represents a subclass of heavy crude oil distinguished by extremely high viscosity and low API gravity. Although smaller than Canadian and Venezuelan deposits, Agbabu bitumen remains strategically important to Nigeria's energy mix. Bitumen remains a critical unconventional hydrocarbon resource embedded in tar sands—sedimentary deposits consisting of sand, clay, and water—making it naturally immobile due to its high viscosity.

Physicochemical constraints dominate production challenges. Asphaltenes, high-molecular-weight aromatic compounds, combined with impurities such as CO_2 and H_2S, elevate viscosity and stabilise the colloidal structure, inhibiting flow [3]. These properties render conventional production methods used for lighter crudes ineffective. Extracting and refining this heavy petroleum relies heavily on overcoming its intrinsic high viscosity and complex composition. To counter these issues, enhanced recovery techniques have evolved, encompassing

DOI: https://doi.org/10.53704/fujnas.v15i1.1060

thermal methods, core annular flow modulation, and, importantly, solvent-based dilution.

In tandem with such techniques, detailed geological and engineering investigations of Agbabu bitumen have provided valuable insights. Geophysical tomographic studies using electrical resistivity tomography (ERT) and ground magnetic profiling have confirmed substantial lateral continuity and thickness of bitumen layers at shallow depths (~3–23 m), though overlying clay layers may introduce groundwater vulnerability [4, 5]. Laboratory characterisation indicates high specific gravity, low API gravity, and SARA distributions comparable to Athabasca bitumen [6]. This similarity suggests that solvent-based recovery strategies applied elsewhere may be transferable to Nigerian bitumen.

Solvent-assisted recovery methods have been explored. [7] demonstrated that aromatic solvents such as toluene significantly enhance solubility and viscosity reduction, while methanol promotes asphaltene aggregation. These findings highlight the importance of solvent chemical class and molecular interactions in viscosity reduction.

Environmental considerations are critical. Bitumen-associated contaminants such as PAHs and heavy metals have been detected in groundwater near Agbabu, raising exploitation concerns [8,9].

Despite extensive studies on Nigerian bitumen composition, limited attention has been paid to pressure-dependent solvent dilution as a mechanism for viscosity reduction. This study addresses this gap by systematically evaluating solubility–viscosity relationships under controlled pressure conditions. Chemical assays also revealed elevated heavy metals and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs)—notably chrysene and fluorene—in some Agbabu and nearby deposits, raising environmental and health concerns during exploitation.

Solvent-aided methods have been specifically assessed for Agbabu bitumen recovery. A study published in *Petroleum Science and Technology* revealed that bitumen exhibits high solubility and viscosity reduction—especially with toluene at elevated pressures— while methanol increases asphaltene content and viscosity, underscoring the importance of solvent selection. Additionally, composite solvent studies (from other heavy oil fields) demonstrated that combinations like toluene-cyclohexane could recover up to 99% of bitumen via favourable SARA extraction dynamics [10]. Similarly, supercritical CO_2 modified by alcohols (e.g. ethanol) achieved recovery rates up to 24.5% at high pressures (60 MPa), although asphaltene rejection and fraction compositions varied [11].

Environmental aspects cannot be overlooked. Bitumen contaminants—such as n-alkanes, PAHs, and heavy metals—have been detected in soil and groundwater near Agbabu, indicating seepage and contamination risks from both geogenic and anthropogenic sources. Emulsion-based recovery approaches using biodegradable surfactants have shown promise in viscosity reduction (e.g., a 64% decrease using plant-derived surfactants) and may offer environmentally friendly pathways [12].

The rheology of heavy crude is strongly influenced by its chemical composition. Asphaltenes with high molecular weight, in addition to hydrocarbon impurities such as carbon dioxide (CO_2), nitrogen, and hydrogen sulfide (H_2S), are the major factor responsible for the elevated viscosity of heavy oils. This explains why bitumen recovery through conventional production strategies used in the production of lighter oils is often inappropriate.

In recent years, several enhanced and improved recovery and viscosity reduction techniques have been deployed to overcome these limitations. According to Martinez [13], the following are among the most common techniques used to improve flow behaviour and transportation efficiency: thermal reduction methods, dilution with lighter hydrocarbons, and core annular flow technology. Solvent dilution, because of its capacity to lower viscosity, improve solubility, and alter the flow properties of bitumen, has gained prominence.

In this study, toluene, n-heptane and xylene solvents are introduced into the heavy oil matrix to disorient the intermolecular interactions and improve mobility. Experimental studies investigate their effects on flow behaviour under controlled conditions of temperature, solvent concentration, and applied pressure. The rheological responses of solvent–bitumen mixtures are then evaluated under deformation to establish flow profiles. Moreover, diffusion studies at conditions such as 35.5 °C and pressures up to 72.5 bar (equivalent to ~1050 psi) have been proposed to understand how solvents penetrate the bitumen structure and affect its flow. Such datasets also serve as critical inputs for reservoir simulation and predictive modelling.

Agbabu heavy oil field and the Eastern Dahomey basin region.

The Agbabu heavy oil field lies within the Eastern Dahomey Basin, Ondo State, Nigeria. Recent studies

DOI: https://doi.org/10.53704/fujnas.v15i1.1060

report API gravity values of 8–11° and high specific gravity [14], classifying it as very heavy crude oil. Viscosity increases sharply with decreasing temperature, exceeding 10^4 cSt at low temperatures. Aromatic solvents such as toluene and xylene exhibit superior dilution performance compared to aliphatic and polar solvents.

Materials and methods

Basis for solvent selection

The solvents were selected to represent distinct chemical classes and interaction mechanisms: n-heptane and naphtha (aliphatic diluents), toluene and xylene (aromatic solvents with strong asphaltene affinity), and phenol (a polar organic solvent used as a contrast case).

N-heptane and naphtha represent aliphatic solvents, toluene and xylene represent aromatic solvents with strong asphaltene affinity, while phenol serves as a polar organic solvent for comparative evaluation.

Solubility measurement

The solubility of Agbabu bitumen was assessed using **a** fixed solvent volume of 10 mL combined with 1.0 g of bitumen, corresponding to a weight-to-volume ratio of 0.10 g/mL. This ratio was maintained for all solvents to ensure comparability across tests.

Solubility experiments were conducted at pressures of 20, 80, 140, 200, and 260 psia. Solubility was calculated as mol/dm^3 using the measured mass of dissolved bitumen at saturation.

Viscosity measurement

Kinematic viscosity was measured using a Redwood viscometer in accordance with ASTM D445. Dynamic viscosity was calculated from kinematic viscosity and density. All viscosity measurements were performed at minimum and maximum solubility conditions to assess solvent effectiveness.

All viscosity values are reported consistently in cP (dynamic viscosity) and cSt (kinematic viscosity). The bath temperature was first stabilised at the desired test condition and verified with a calibrated thermometer. A cord-attached cork stopper was fitted at the base of the viscometer to prevent air leakage, confirmed by the absence of oil traces on the cork upon removal. For test points above ambient conditions, the sample was preheated to approximately 1.7 °C above the target temperature and maintained at least 28 °C below its flash point. The homogenised sample was then introduced into the viscometer through a 150-mesh wire cloth filter until the level reached the overflow rim.

A flow chart showing the schematic outline of the experimental work done is shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1. The flow chart showing the methodology of experimental work.

Solubility determination procedure

The solubility assessment was conducted by introducing 10mL of the selected hydrocarbon solvent into a clean, dry test tube. Prior to the experiment, the bitumen container was weighed, and its initial mass was recorded. A measured portion of bitumen was then added to the solvent, and the test tube was sealed with a stopper and agitated thoroughly to promote dissolution.

Further portions of bitumen were added incrementally, with continuous shaking after each addition, until no further dissolution was observed and a saturation point was reached. At this stage, the remaining bitumen container was weighed to obtain its final mass.

The quantity of bitumen dissolved in the solvent was determined from the difference between the initial masses of the bitumen container. Solubility was subsequently calculated by relating the mass of dissolved bitumen to the volume of solvent employed in the test. The obtained values were used to compare the dissolution capacities of the various hydrocarbon solvents investigated.

The solubility of the Agbabu bitumen was assessed in selected hydrocarbon solvents, namely n-heptane, naphtha, toluene, xylene, and phenol, to evaluate solvent–bitumen interactions. Equal volumes of solvent (10 mL each) were combined with measured quantities of bitumen at different temperatures. The mass of dissolved bitumen at saturation was recorded, and comparative solvent efficiency was established from the maximum solubilised fractions. A 10mL test tube was used to

DOI: https://doi.org/10.53704/fujnas.v15i1.1060

dissolve the heavy oil sample in each of the hydrocarbon solvents (N-heptane, phenol, toluene, xylene, and naphtha). A schematic outline of the procedure is provided in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Flow chart of the solubility test procedure.

Experimental conditions and measurements

Pressure Effects: The solubility of bitumen in each solvent was measured at varying pressures. Viscosity at Maximum and Minimum Solubility: Kinematic and dynamic viscosities were estimated at maximum and minimum solubility conditions for each solvent. This approach allowed for the evaluation of the effectiveness of different solvents in reducing the viscosity of heavy crude oil under varying pressure conditions.

Pressure Variations: Solubility evaluations were conducted at pressures of 20 psia, 80 psia, 140 psia, 200 psia, and 260 psia to assess the impact of pressure on solubility.

Results and research discussion

Results

The experimental results obtained from the solubility and viscosity measurements are presented in Tables 1 and 2. Table 1 summarises the solubility of Agbabu bitumen in different solvents—naphtha, n-heptane, phenol, toluene, and xylene—across a pressure range of 20 to 260 psia. The data show a progressive increase in solubility with increasing pressure for all solvent systems, with noticeable variation in magnitude depending on solvent type.

Table 2 presents the corresponding kinematic and dynamic viscosity values of the heavy oil–solvent systems at both minimum and maximum solubility conditions. The results indicate substantial reductions in viscosity across all solvents, with the extent of reduction differing significantly among the solvent types.

These results provide the basis for evaluating the relationship between solubility and viscosity reduction, as well as the influence of pressure and solvent characteristics on the rheological behaviour of the heavy crude oil.

Table 1: Showing the Solubility of Heavy oil at various pressure in varying mediums

Pressure (Psia)	Solubility (Mol/dm3)				
	Bitumen in n-Naphta	Bitumen in n-Heptane	Bitumen in Phenol	Bitumen in Toluene	Bitumen in Xylene
20	2.2	1.8	1.0	3.7	4.4
80	4.6	3.4	2.9	5.6	6.2
120	6.8	5.2	4.6	7.5	9.1
200	8.9	7.4	6.0	9.2	10.9
260	10.9	9.8	7.8	11.4	13.0

Table 2: Kinematic viscosity and dynamic viscosity at minimum solubility and maximum solubility.

Petrochemical Formation Nomenclature	Kinematic Viscosity at Minimum Solubility (cSt)	Kinematic Viscosity at Maximum Solubility (cSt)	Dynamic Viscosity at Minimum Solubility (cp)	Dynamic Viscosity at Maximum Solubility (cp)
Naphtha + Heavy Oil	250	42	225	37.8
N-Heptane + Heavy Oil	190	34	161.5	28.9
Phenol + Heavy Oil	110	28	118.8	30.24
Toluene + Heavy oil	350	19	332.5	18.05
Xylene + Heavy oil	42	15	390.6	13.95

Discussion

DOI: https://doi.org/10.53704/fujnas.v15i1.1060

To better understand the implications of these experimental observations, a detailed discussion of the relationships between solubility, viscosity, pressure, and solvent type is presented below. Figures 3–6 provide a foundational visualisation of the experimental results, illustrating the variation in bitumen solubility with pressure across different solvents (Figures 3 and 6), as well as the corresponding changes in kinematic and dynamic viscosities under minimum- and maximum-solubility conditions (Figures 4 and 5). Together, these figures establish the fundamental trends linking pressure-enhanced solubility to viscosity reduction and highlight the influence of solvent type on rheological behaviour.

Relationship between solubility and viscosity reduction

Figure 7 presents a scatter plot with regression analysis showing an inverse correlation between solubility (mol/dm^3) and dynamic viscosity (cP). Increased solubility corresponds directly to reduced viscosity, confirming dissolution-driven viscosity reduction. Building on this observed inverse relationship, it is important to examine the underlying factors—particularly pressure and solvent characteristics—that govern this behaviour.

Combined effects of pressure and solvent type on solubility and viscosity

Phenol exhibited the least sensitivity to pressure due to hydrogen-bonding interactions that favour resin fractions but do not effectively disrupt asphaltene aggregates, unlike aromatic solvents such as xylene, which exhibit strong π–π interactions. While xylene achieved the highest viscosity reduction (~28-fold), naphtha provided a moderate ~6-fold reduction at significantly lower cost and greater availability. This suggests that naphtha may represent a more viable industrial candidate where economic constraints dominate. Overall, the effectiveness ranking of the solvent was established as xylene > toluene > naphtha > n-heptane > phenol, consistent with previous studies on heavy oil solvent dilution [8]. These comparative findings can be further contextualised within the broader objective of improving the flow characteristics of Agbabu bitumen.

The lowest kinematic of 15.0 cSt and dynamic viscosities of 13.95 cp were achieved for Xylene at maximum solubility, outperforming other solvents. Phenol displayed the least effectiveness in reducing viscosity while Toluene also showed substantial viscosity reduction. These findings align with the philosophy that aromatic solvents like toluene and xylene are more effective in dissolving and reducing the viscosity of heavy oils. Building on the observed influence of pressure on both solubility and viscosity, it is also important to examine the specific solubility trends across the investigated pressure range to better understand the underlying behavior of the bitumen–solvent system.



Figure 3: Solubility of bitumen in various solvents at different pressures

DOI: https://doi.org/10.53704/fujnas.v15i1.1060



Figure 4: Kinematic viscosity of bitumen-solvent systems



Figure 5: Dynamic viscosity of bitumen solvent systems



Figure 6: Solubility of bitumen in solvents across pressures

DOI: https://doi.org/10.53704/fujnas.v15i1.1060



Figure 7: Inverse correlation between solubility and dynamic viscosity at maximum solubility

Bitumen solubility in all solvents increased significantly as pressure rose from 20 psi to 260 psi, resulting in lower viscosities. This aligns with the findings of studies on how pressure-enhanced solubility improves rheological properties of heavy oils. Reliable kinematic and dynamic viscosity data obtained from Redwood viscometer measurements are essential for assessing the efficiency of viscosity-reduction methods.

Thermal stimulation (in situ combustion, steam flooding), gas injection (CO_2, N_2, natural gas), and solvent dilution are among the methods being studied globally for viscosity reduction [15, 16]. In regions like Nigeria, where natural gas and refinery-derived solvents are relatively available, solvent dilution is the most attractive option. The principle is straightforward: solvents interact with the heavy oil molecules, reduce asphaltene aggregation, lower intermolecular forces, and thus decrease viscosity significantly. However, the efficiency of viscosity reduction is solvent-specific and depends on molecular interactions, solvent polarity, aromaticity, and external conditions such as pressure and temperature.

In this study, five solvents were investigated—n-heptane, phenol, toluene, xylene, and naphtha—for their ability to reduce the viscosity of Agbabu heavy crude oil. These solvents were chosen because they represent different classes of hydrocarbon chemistry: aliphatics (n-heptane, naphtha), aromatics (toluene, xylene), and a polar organic compound (phenol). Their solubility power and rheological impact were systematically tested under different pressures (20–260 psia), and viscosity was evaluated using a Redwood viscometer under minimum and maximum solubility conditions. The following discussion interprets these results within the framework of heavy oil rheology and solvent-oil interactions. Figure 7 confirms a strong inverse relationship between solubility and dynamic viscosity, indicating that increased solvent solubilization directly enhances the efficiency of viscosity reduction.

Pressure-dependent solubility behaviour of bitumen–solvent systems

The solubility experiments, carried out by dissolving bitumen in each solvent within a 10 mL test tube under incremental pressures, revealed distinct patterns in the dissolution behaviour of Agbabu heavy oil. At 20 psia, solubility was lowest across the board, with values ranging from 1.00 mol/dm^3 for phenol to 4.40 mol/dm^3 for xylene. As pressure increased to 260 psia, solubility consistently increased for all solvents, reaching a maximum of 13.0 mol/dm^3 in xylene, followed by 11.49 mol/dm^3 in toluene, 10.90 mol/dm^3 in naphtha, 9.80 mol/dm^3 in n-heptane, and 7.80 mol/dm^3 in phenol.

Solubility increased consistently with pressure for all solvents. Xylene exhibited the highest solubility (13.0 mol/dm^3), followed by toluene, naphtha, n-heptane, and phenol.

Figures 3–7 (high-resolution) illustrate solubility trends and viscosity behaviour across solvents and pressures.

This trend underscores the role of pressure in enhancing the miscibility of solvents with heavy crude. Higher pressure reduces the free volume between molecules, increases contact between solvent and solute, and improves dissolution kinetics [17]. In petroleum systems, pressure has also been observed to increase solvent penetration into asphaltene aggregates, thereby destabilising them and increasing their solubility.

Comparing solvents, the superior solubility performance of xylene and toluene aligns with the known affinity of aromatics for asphaltenes. Aromatic solvents interact via π–π stacking with the aromatic cores of asphaltenes, effectively dispersing them [18]. Xylene, with three methyl substituents on the benzene ring, provides stronger solvation than toluene, which accounts for the observed higher solubility. In contrast, aliphatic solvents such as n-heptane are poor solvents for asphaltenes and typically cause precipitation rather than dissolution when used in excess. Nonetheless, the observed solubility values suggest that n-heptane can still achieve moderate dissolution at high pressures, likely due to increased disruption of non-polar van der Waals interactions at elevated pressures.

Interestingly, phenol exhibited the lowest solubility throughout the pressure range. Phenol is a

DOI: https://doi.org/10.53704/fujnas.v15i1.1060

polar, hydrogen-bonding solvent, and while polarity may favour interaction with certain resins, it does not align well with the largely non-polar hydrocarbon matrix of heavy crude oil. Its limited solubility performance suggests that polar interactions alone are insufficient for breaking asphaltene aggregates in Agbabu oil, and non-polar aromatic interactions are far more effective.

Overall, the solubility results confirm that pressure significantly enhances dissolution, but solvent type—particularly aromaticity—remains the dominant factor dictating solubility strength. This has direct implications for the subsequent viscosity measurements.

Quantitative analysis of viscosity reduction

Viscosity measurements under minimum- and maximum-solubility conditions revealed dramatic reductions in both kinematic and dynamic viscosities across all solvent systems, though the extent of the reductions varied by solvent. Significant reductions in both kinematic and dynamic viscosity were observed. Aromatic solvents demonstrated superior viscosity reduction due to π–π interactions with asphaltenes. Xylene achieved the greatest reduction, lowering dynamic viscosity from 390.6 cP to 13.95 cP.

Naphtha

From Table 2, at minimum solubility, the heavy oil–naphtha mixture had a kinematic viscosity of 250 cSt and a dynamic viscosity of 225 cP. At maximum solubility, these values dropped to 42 cSt and 37.8 cP, respectively. This indicates nearly a six-fold reduction in viscosity, demonstrating the effectiveness of naphtha in breaking down the viscous structure of Agbabu oil. Being a mixture of light hydrocarbons, naphtha dilutes the heavy fractions and reduces intermolecular interactions. However, its performance was not as strong as that of aromatics, reflecting its aliphatic-dominated composition.

N-Heptane

Similarly, n-heptane reduced viscosity from 190 cSt (kinematic) and 161.5 cP (dynamic) at minimum solubility to 34 cSt and 28.9 cP, respectively, at maximum solubility. The reductions were significant, though again less pronounced than with aromatics. N-heptane's linear alkane structure offers dilution but lacks the aromatic interaction needed for efficient asphaltene dispersion. Nonetheless, its relatively strong reduction compared to phenol highlights the importance of dilution effects even without strong molecular affinity.

Phenol

In comparison, phenol yielded a reduction from 110 cSt (kinematic) and 118.8 cP (dynamic) at minimum solubility to 28.0 cSt and 30.24 cP at maximum solubility. This represents a moderate improvement, though phenol's viscosity-reduction power was inferior to both aromatics and aliphatics at high solubility. The polar hydroxyl group likely introduces some hydrogen bonding with resinous fractions, but its incompatibility with the non-polar hydrocarbon matrix limits its efficacy.

Toluene

Toluene produced some of the most dramatic reductions: from 350 cSt (kinematic) and 332.5 cP (dynamic) at minimum solubility to 19.0 cSt and 18.05 cP at maximum solubility. This equates to nearly a 20-fold reduction in viscosity, underscoring toluene's effectiveness in disrupting asphaltene aggregation. Toluene is a well-established solvent for asphaltenes due to its aromatic ring and intermediate polarity, which balance solvation power with compatibility [19].

Xylene

Xylene was the most effective solvent tested, reducing viscosity from 420 cSt (kinematic) and 390.6 cP (dynamic) at minimum solubility to 15.0 cSt and 13.95 cP at maximum solubility. This represents a 28-fold reduction, demonstrating that xylene offers the strongest solvent-solute interaction among the tested options. Its higher methyl substitution compared to toluene enhances π–π interactions with asphaltene cores and provides steric effects that aid dispersion [20]. Synthesising the individual solvent performances reveals clear trends in effectiveness.

Comparative evaluation of solvent performance

The results clearly demonstrate that aromatic solvents are superior to aliphatic solvents and phenol in reducing viscosity in Agbabu heavy oil. The order of effectiveness was xylene > toluene > naphtha > n-heptane > phenol. These trends are consistent with the literature, which emphasises the affinity between aromatic solvents and asphaltenes as the key to viscosity reduction [21, 22]. The direct correlation between solubility and viscosity reduction further reinforces that dissolution of asphaltenes and resins is the primary mechanism of viscosity reduction. Beyond comparative performance, these findings have significant implications for the flow behaviour

DOI: https://doi.org/10.53704/fujnas.v15i1.1060

and practical handling of Agbabu bitumen.

Rheological implications for Agbabu bitumen recovery and transport

The findings have several implications for the rheology of Agbabu heavy oil and its potential exploitation.

First, the significant viscosity reductions achieved—particularly with xylene and toluene—suggest that solvent-assisted production methods could transform the mobility of Agbabu crude. Reducing viscosity from hundreds of cSt to below 20 cSt brings the oil into the range of medium crude oils, which are much easier to transport via pipelines without excessive heating or drag-reducing agents.

Second, the differences in solvent performance highlight the need for solvent selection tailored to local availability and cost. While xylene and toluene are petrochemical solvents with high effectiveness, they may be costly for large-scale use. Naphtha, being a refinery product, is more accessible in Nigeria and offers a reasonable balance of solubility and viscosity reduction, though less effective than pure aromatics.

Third, the pressure-dependent solubility trends imply that in reservoir conditions, where pressures can be high, solvent injection may achieve even greater dissolution than laboratory tests at lower pressures. This could favour in situ recovery methods such as solvent vapour extraction (VAPEX), which relies on diffusion of solvents into heavy oil reservoirs.

Finally, the rheological behaviour suggests that solvent blending could provide synergistic benefits. For example, mixing naphtha with small amounts of xylene or toluene could provide both cost-effectiveness and strong viscosity reduction, a strategy supported by studies on blended solvents for Canadian bitumen. To validate these observations, it is essential to compare them with findings reported in existing literature.

Comparison with existing literature

The results align well with global and regional studies on solvent-assisted viscosity reduction. For instance, researchers have demonstrated that aromatics are superior solvents for asphaltenes compared to aliphatics [15, 19, 20, 21], consistent with the dominance of xylene and toluene in this study. Similarly, [5, 17, 22] in their work on Nigerian bitumen, observed that aromatic solvents reduced viscosity significantly more than paraffinic solvents, echoing the present findings.

Other studies on Venezuelan and Canadian bitumens have also reported that toluene and xylene are among the most effective solvents for viscosity reduction [4, 9, 17]. The agreement across different heavy oil types suggests that the observed trends for Agbabu oil are not isolated but part of a general principle: the aromatic content of the solvent is the strongest predictor of viscosity reduction effectiveness.

This study uniquely quantifies the solubility-viscosity relationship at different pressures for Agbabu oil specifically, thereby providing localised data for Nigerian reserves. The significant impact of pressure on solubility had been suggested in earlier thermodynamic models [3, 14, 18, 21], but experimental confirmation for Nigerian heavy crude was lacking. This fills an important gap in knowledge and provides practical insight for field engineers designing solvent-based recovery schemes. Despite the strong agreement with previous studies, certain limitations of the present work must be acknowledged.

Study limitations and practical implications

While the experimental results are promising, several limitations must be acknowledged. The tests were conducted under controlled laboratory conditions using small volumes (10 mL test tubes). In reservoir settings, mass transfer limitations, heterogeneity, and the presence of connate water could alter solvent efficiency. Additionally, the economic and environmental implications of large-scale solvent use must be carefully considered. Xylene and toluene, while effective, are toxic and expensive, whereas naphtha, though less effective, may be more feasible in Nigeria's refining context.

Despite these limitations, the practical potential is substantial. Solvent dilution could be integrated into enhanced oil recovery (EOR) strategies in Agbabu, either as standalone solvent injection or in combination with steam (e.g., solvent-assisted steam flooding). The significant viscosity reduction demonstrated here indicates that solvent treatment could enable pipeline transport of Agbabu crude without extensive heating, lowering operational costs.

Summary of key findings and engineering implications

a) Pressure significantly enhances bitumen solubility across all solvents.
b) Aromatic solvents (xylene, toluene) are most effective due to strong asphaltene interactions.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.53704/fujnas.v15i1.1060

c) Xylene achieved the highest viscosity reduction (~28-fold).
d) Naphtha offers a practical compromise between efficiency and cost.
e) Pressure enhances solubility: Increasing pressure from 20 to 260 psia improved solubility for all solvents, with maximum values observed in xylene (13.0 mol/dm^3).
f) Aromatic solvents are most effective: Xylene and toluene achieved the highest solubility and viscosity reduction, confirming their superior interaction with asphaltenes.
g) Viscosity reduction was substantial: Xylene reduced viscosity by ~28-fold, while toluene achieved a~20-fold reduction. Aliphatics (naphtha, n-heptane) were moderately effective, while phenol was least effective.
h) Relevance to Agbabu oil: The results demonstrate that solvent dilution can make Agbabu bitumen flowable, enabling potential commercial exploitation.

These findings collectively inform the final conclusions of this study.

Conclusion

This study demonstrates that pressure-enhanced solvent dilution is an effective method for reducing the viscosity of Agbabu bitumen. Aromatic solvents exhibit superior performance due to strong asphaltene–solvent interactions. The findings provide practical insight for designing solvent-assisted recovery strategies for Nigerian heavy oil resources.

References

1. Adigun AO. Delineation of bitumen saturated zones in Agbabu, Southwestern Nigeria, using integrated geophysical methods. J Energy Nat Resources. 2020;9(3):88-97.
2. Milos P. Comparative evaluation of global heavy crude and bitumen resources. Energy Resources Rev. 2015.
3. Wei Y. Influence of CO_2, H_2S, and asphaltenes on the viscosity and flow behavior of heavy crude oils. Energy Fuels. 2013;27(6):3109-3117.
4. Martínez A. Crude oil viscosity reduction methods: thermal, dilution, and core annular technologies. Fuel Process Technol. 2011;92(5):795-802.
5. Akinsete OO, Falade AA, Aliu HO. Evaluation of heavy hydrocarbon liquid in the Eastern Dahomey Basin, Southwestern Nigeria: case study of Agbabu bitumen in Ondo State. Pet Sci Technol. 2025.
6. Ogunlana OF, Alile OM, Airen OJ. Two-dimensional electrical resistivity tomography of bitumen occurrence in Agbabu, Southwest Nigeria. Curr J Appl Sci Technol. 2019;36(1):1-9.
7. Iwueze ES. Application of electrical resistivity techniques for mapping bitumen saturated zones and its geologic implication over Agbabu, Southwestern Nigeria. Earth Sci. 2021;10(6):332-345.
8. Ogiriki T. Challenges in Nigerian tar sand bitumen recovery: a review of viscosity and flow constraints. Niger J Pet Eng Res. 2018.
9. Liu Y, Qiu Z, Zhong H, Nie Z, Li J, Huang W, Zhao X. Bitumen recovery from crude bitumen samples from Halfaya oilfield by single and composite solvents—Process, parameters, and mechanism. Materials. 2019;12 (17):2656.
10. Rudyk S, Spirov P, Jimoh I, Vakili-Nezhaad G. The bitumen upgrading of Nigerian oil sand by supercritical carbon dioxide modified with alcohols. Energy & Fuels. 2014; 28(7):4714-4724.
11. Olajire AA, Olujobade M, Olabemiwo O. n-Alkane distributions in soil and water samples collected near Agbabu bitumen field of southwestern Nigeria. Int J Environ Stud. 2008;65(6):769-779.
12. Eganoosi EA. Incidences of bitumen contamination of water sources in some communities of Ondo State, Nigeria. Malays J Civ Eng. 2021;33(1).
13. Olabemiwo OM, Salam KK, Araromi DO, Aremu MO, Arinkoola AO, Faro AA. Experimental investigation and artificial intelligence modeling of stability of Agbabu bitumen emulsion using green-based surfactant. Alger J Eng Technol. 2024;9(1):84-103.
14. Alade O, Al Shehri D, Mahmoud M, Sasaki K. Viscosity–temperature–pressure relationship of extra-heavy oil (Bitumen): empirical modelling versus artificial neural network (ANN). Energies, 2019; 12(12): 2390.
15. Akbarzadeh K, Dhillon A, Svrcek WY, Yarranton HW. Methodology for the characterization and modeling of asphaltene precipitation from heavy oils diluted with n-alkanes. Energy Fuels. 2004;18(5):1434-1441.
16. Alvarez JO, Ruggiero A, Pereira-Almao P. Characterization and upgrading behavior of heavy crude oil fractions and asphaltenes. Fuel. 2018;216:576-587.
17. Zhang L, Wang J, Luo Y. Solvent-assisted viscosity reduction and characterization of heavy crude oils. J Pet Sci Eng. 2016;145:456-464.
18. Goual L, Firoozabadi A. Thermodynamic modeling of asphaltene precipitation in heavy oils and bitumens. AIChE J. 2004;50(2):470-479.
19. Goual L. Asphaltene deposition and interfacial behavior in heavy oil systems. Energy Fuels. 2012;26(6):3274-3285.
20. Andreatta G, Bostrom N, Mullins OC. Asphaltene structural characterization and phase behavior in heavy crude oils. Langmuir. 2005;21(7):2728-2736.
21. Yarranton HW, Alboudwarej H. Regular solution model for asphaltene precipitation from bitumens and solvents. AIChE J. 2003;49(11):2948-2956.
22. Orodu OD, Falode OA, Nwosu HU. Experimental evaluation of solvent effects on viscosity reduction and flow improvement of Nigerian heavy crude oil. J Pet Explor Prod Technol. 2019;9(4):2873-2885.

DOI: https://doi.org/10.53704/fujnas.v15i1.1060